

Financial instruments for energy efficiency in the programming period 2014-2020

CAP Troisième Révolution Industrielle An ESIF/EFSI Investment Platform in Nord-Pas de Calais (France)

Philippe FARVACQUE, Région Hauts-de-France







Strategic context – Background



Introduction of the region (1/2)

- North of France
- Now merged with Picardie to form the Hauts-de-France Region (2015)
- Capital: Lille
- Main cities: Dunkerque, Valenciennes
- Good connections to Paris, Brussels and London









Strategic context – Background



Introduction of the region (2/2)

- Over 4 million inhabitants: 4th most populated French region
- GDP per inhabitant: EUR 25,700; 17th out of the 27 regions (2014)
- 14% unemployment, compared to 10% at national level (2014)
- Transition Region under Cohesion Policy criteria
- Managing Authority for ERDF, EAFRD, partly ESF (shared with State) and partly implementing body for EMFF (State is the MA)







Strategic context



TO 4 in Nord-Pas de Calais and the FI

- TO 4 is the TO with the highest amount in the ERDF-ESF OP ("the OP"): EUR 225m, among EUR 674m for ERDF (33%)
- TO 4 covers topics like research, production, energy efficiency, urban development (incl. social and territorial cohesion) as well as mobility.
- TO 4 is important in Nord-Pas de Calais because greenhouse gas emissions per inhabitant are 30% higher than the national average and the share of renewable energy in energy consumption is 4 times lower than the national average.
- The FI mobilises 6% of TO 4 (*i.e.* 2% of ERDF): EUR 12.5m





Strategic context



CAP TRI intervention logic

- CAP TRI: the first new financial instrument ("FI") in the region for the 2014-2020 programming period
- A regional ambition: to develop a new economic model by 2050, with an efficient and sustainable use of resources, and support to job creation
- 2013: drafting of the "third industrial revolution" ("TRI") road map by the MA and the Chamber of Commerce with the support from Jeremy Rifkin
- Main objective: -60% energy consumption, 100% energy from renewables, 4 times less CO₂ emissions
- Other objectives: job creation, economic development, reduction of fuel poverty,
- Other support: EUR 2.5m of ERDF are technical support grants also managed by the fund manager to help develop a pipeline of project







Strategic context

Overview of the TRI

- Priorities: energy efficiency, renewable energy, circular economy, smart grid and soft mobility
- Stakeholders: MA (the Region), Chamber of Commerce, fund manager, EIB, consultants (for the *exante* assessment)











Strategic context – Past and envisaged future



Experience and lessons learned 2007-2013 (1/2)

- Fls for economic development and support to enterprises:
 - A seed fund: with EUR 10m from the Region, having supported 31 projects
 - A guarantee fund: EUR 5.4 m, enabling 1,736 job creations
 - A repayable aid fund ("fonds d'avance remboursable doté"): with EUR 5.7m, having supported 8 innovative projects





Strategic context – Past and envisaged future



Experience and lessons learned 2007-2013 (2/2)

- The "JEREMIE" initiative was analysed but not established due to non-available funding
- 3 main themes over 2007-2013:
 - Urban development
 - Energy efficiency
 - Brownfields
- Experiment without EU funds: the FORIC, a regional debt fund with EUR 2.5m from the Region, for 10 projects supported









FIs in 2014-2020

- Intention to develop FIs in 2014-2020 as a continuation of 2007-2013; especially to finance the TRI road map
- The OP envisages FIs for:
 - TO 3: EUR 57m
 - TO 4: EUR 47.9m







Ex-ante assessment – Approach



Overview

- An initial study was conducted by the MA and the Chamber of Commerce, and supported by Jeremy Rifkin, regarding TRI in the region, defining the TRI road map
- This study showed an existing demand for (equity) financing of EUR 257m. Over 2016-2018, this demand would be of EUR 951m
- Beginning of 2015, the MA launched a call for tender to select a consultant for the *ex-ante* assessment
- The MA selected a consultant with innovation and FI knowledge
- Focus: specifically on TRI, using TO 4 funding for SMEs, mid-caps and projects (Special Purposes Vehicles, "SPVs")
- Timetable: April to June 2015, with finalisation in September 2015







– The study

Market assessment (1/3) – Market failure, suboptimal investment

- Methodology:
 - A survey conducted by the MA and the Chamber of Commerce addressed 3,170 companies and received 116 answers.
 - Interviews with start-ups and established companies.
- Market failures identified for:
 - Equity (seed, private equity): with a demand of EUR 380m up to 2050
 - Debt (including mezzanine debt) : with a demand of EUR 60m up to 2050
- Need for technical support also identified (Business Plan drafting)







– The study

Market assessment (2/3) – Value added

- Qualitative value added estimated:
 - Propose a full range of FIs that cover needs at different development stages
 - Use of existing regional tools to optimise ERDF contribution
 - Use of professional private sector financial intermediaries with TRI and ERDF management knowledge
- Consistency with other forms of public intervention:
 - Consistency with the national Ecotechnologies Fund, that has EUR 150m and co-invest in equity and quasi-equity between EUR 1m and EUR 10m pari passu with other investors
 - Relaunch of a regional fund (FORIC) that had EUR 2.5m, closed its investment period in 2015, provided debt financing and was managed by FINORPA







– The study

Market assessment (3/3) – Additional public and private resources

- Potential use of EFSI mentioned in the *ex-ante* assessment
- Need to attract private actors mentioned, especially to create leverage
- Estimated leverage effect in the *ex-ante*: between x4 and x10 depending on the FI (x4 for equity/quasi-equity and up to x10 for a guarantee instrument)







– The study

Delivery and management (1/3) – Proposed investment strategy (implementation options)

- Pillar 1: A fund focusing on TRI and providing equity / quasi-equity for large projects (*future CAP TRI Fund*)
- Pillar 2: A fund providing equity / quasi-equity for smaller projects
- Pillar 3: The creation of TRI sub-funds within existing funds (for guarantee and seed financing)
- Technical Assistance: needed for all 3 pillars to support companies and projects







– The study

Delivery and management (2/3) – Focus on Pillar 1 (the future CAP TRI Fund)

- Equity and quasi-equity for SMEs, mid-caps and SPVs
- To finance industrial projects from EUR 1m to EUR 5m
- Potential:
 - Invest EUR 15m / year for large projects
 - An initial capacity of EUR 50m, increased to EUR 120m later on
 - Invest in 3 to 5 companies or projects per year
- TA for 5-10% of the invested funds *via* technical support grants







– The study

Delivery and management (3/3) – Focus on Pillar 1 (the future CAP TRI Fund)

- Estimated leverage effect: x4
 - EUR 1 of ERDF/national co-financing would leverage EUR 1 from the financial intermediary and EUR 2 in debt
- Financial and outputs indicators mentioned (amounts spent, number of projects supported, etc.)
- Indicators aligned with the TRI road map and the OP (especially TO 4: low carbon projects, circular economy, etc.)
- State aid implications of the proposed FI analysed in a further study with the support of a law firm







- Recommendations and lessons learnt

Main lessons learnt

- Interest to align the *ex-ante* assessment with an existing general strategy
- The choice of the consultant is important (for appropriate expertise)
- Need for a strong partnership with the regional eco-system
- Interest to perform the *ex-ante* assessment in 2 phases

	Learning by doing	
European Commission	🈏 #ficompass	European Investment Bank The EU back

Design and set-up – Implementation structure



Implementation options (*recap*)

- 1. Creation of a new FI with a new fund manager
- 2. Continuation of an existing regional FI aligned with the TRI road map and the OP
- 3. Creation of sub-funds within existing FIs

Option 1 was favoured because:

- It was showing a clear political priority
- It enabled the fastest implementation
- Option 2 was not completely disregarded and chosen by the MA for another FI
- Option 3 was considered too complicated





Design and set-up – Implementation structure





** The objective is to reach a total of EUR 100m thanks to new public and/or private investors











Why not a Fund of Funds structure?

 A FoF was first envisaged with several FIs but since the TRI was top priority, a specific FI was implemented, with no FoF



Design and set-up – Main objectives of the FI



Growth

Increase development and competitiveness of companies, by growing their capital (equity) Long-term

Be a long-term shareholder and take part in the decision-making of companies and projects Local

Close relationship between the team (based in the Region) and the <u>companies' directors</u>

Investments

CAP TRI is expected to generate a portfolio of investments of **EUR 200m** in total at project level, thus 16 times the contribution from the ERDF Operational Programme







– Investment Priorities





Commission









🝠 #ficompass



Investment criteria







Design and set-up – Main characteristics of the FI





* Up to EUR 10m through co-investment







– Technical support grants

Technical support mechanism (1/3)

- Follows the conclusions of the *ex-ante* assessment (with EUR 2.5m from ERDF)
- Channelled by the fund manager via the FI under one single operation with separate accounts
- Addressed to final recipients which will receive financing from the FI later on (hence help final recipients as well as pipeline development)
- Two types of advisory services (no technical support after investment from the FI):

Expertise to **label** the project as "TRI" (**flash expertise**)

Technical support to **monitor/follow** project developments until financing







Technical support grants

Technical support mechanism (2/3)

- Maximum amount per final recipient is EUR 100,000 (final recipient may cofinance)
- Fund manager selects an advisory service provider each time (open and transparent procedure) and assesses at least 3 proposals
- As of September 2016, 2 technical support assignments have been launched for about EUR 5,000 each
- Technical support in the form of a grant paid directly to service providers but for the benefit of the final recipients







Design and set-up – Technical support grants



Technical support mechanism (3/3)

- The technical support budget also finances "**independent expert assessment**", compulsory for each project prior to its presentation to the Consultative Investment Committee. These are energy efficiency and environmental performance assessments; in quantitative and qualitative terms
- After Consultative Investment Committee and Supervisory Board decisions, final recipients have to conduct financial and legal audits (technical support grants cannot be used here)
- Fund manager does not charge management costs/fees for grants management
- If the final recipient receives financing from the FI, it does not have to repay the technical support grant
- These technical support grants fall in the *de minimis* limits









– Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)

Overview – State aid (1/3)

- CAP TRI was developed on a State aid compliant basis
- At the level of the shareholders / investors:
 - Invest by way of equity on a *pari passu basis* (as "investisseurs avisés"), no preferential treatment, upward remuneration or downside protection from the ESIF contribution, and investment on market terms and in accordance with the Market Economy Investor Principle;
 - **Private investment** at the FI level is important, and agreed before the MA's commitment; and
 - EIB financing under **EFSI** does not constitute State aid. EIB is providing funding in line with the parameters prescribed for a layered structure in the ESIF/EFSI complementarities brochure.







– Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)

Overview – State aid (2/3)

- At the level of the financial intermediary:
 - Fund manager selected through an **open, competitive and transparent procedure**, including its remuneration structure proposed;
 - Remuneration (management fees) based on market practice, with both a base and a performance remuneration component;
 - Alignment of interest thanks to the fund manager's financial participation in the FI *via* its parent bank (Crédit Agricole); and
- The financial intermediary does not charge management costs/fees for technical support grants







– Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)

Overview – State aid (3/3)

- At the level of the **final recipients**:
 - Always takes minority shares in the final recipients/projects and intervenes along with private co-investors;
 - Invests on market terms, with private sector investment in each final recipient/project; and
 - Each investment is subject to **full due diligence** with proven economic and financial viability.
- The technical support grant is an aid falling in the de minimis limits





Design and set-up – Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)

Entity selected (1/4) - Results

- The MA administrated the selection procedure
- The selected Fund Manager is:

Nord Capital Partenaires SAS, in partnership with FINORPA, and the private investor Crédit Agricole Nord de France



* * *

fi compass











Design and set-up – Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)



Entity selected (2/4) – Selection process

- Selection in line with the Common Provisions Regulation ("CPR"), Article 7 of the 480/2014 directive, and the AIFM (Alternative Investment Fund Manager) directive
- Open and transparent procedure to select the fund manager between July and November 2015
- Contract notice published in the Official Journal of the EU
- Two offers of good quality received, final selection by the MA







– Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)

Entity selected (3/4) – Selection requirements

Experienced and established **team** (with regional knowledge)

Business plan with reasonable financial return (as per the risk) Investment strategy with clear understanding of the TRI

Understanding of technical support needs and method for delivery

Performance-based and competitive remuneration structure



Co-investment at FI level of EUR 5m, and strategy to co-invest at project level

Clear **procedures**: management, monitoring, reporting, conflict of interest, quality control







– Selection of body implementing the FI (F. Int.)

Entity selected (4/4) – Finalisation

- A legal advisor and the EIB helped the MA finalising:
 - The legal structure of the FI;
 - The FI's investment strategy and its business plan;
 - The due diligence of the fund manager.
- Lessons learnt:
 - Need for alignment between different and sometimes complex regulations





Design and set-up – Funding agreement MA-F. Int.



Investment strategy and business plan (1/2)

- Investment strategy in line with the TRI road map, the OP (TO 4) and the *ex-ante* assessment
- Several objectives related to:
 - Low carbon economy
 - Financing support to SMEs and mid-caps to help them modernise their equipment and machinery, improve their energy efficiency as well as develop renewables energy projects
- In line with the Principles for Responsible Investment established by global investors and supported by the United Nations





Design and set-up – Funding agreement MA-F. Int.



Investment strategy and business plan (2/2)

- Key features:
 - EUR 37.5m for investment with an objective to reach EUR 100m in the FI
 - EUR 2.5m for technical support
 - Provides equity and quasi-equity financing
 - Finances SMEs, mid-caps and SPVs
 - Expects to generate a portfolio of investments of EUR 200m in total at project level, thus 16 times the contribution from the ERDF OP (EUR 12.5m)
 - 7% Internal Rate of Return expected after fees and over the next 15 years
 - First investment period: 7 years (2016-2022)
 - Returns to the FI from 2023 onwards (divestments are reinvested into the FI)
 - Life-time of the FI: open end, with possible exit after 15 years





fi compass

– Funding agreement MA-F. Int.

Governance and management

- Legal structure compliant with national regulations and CPR
- Incorporation of a new commercial company ("CAP TRI") by the fund manager
- Signature of an investment management agreement between the fund manager and CAP TRI
- Other agreements with private investors









Implementation (overall) - MA-F. Int.



Challenges, recommendations, lessons learnt

- The process to set-up a FI remains complex, especially when combining multiple tools (such as ESIF and EFSI)
- In addition to political will, financial and regulatory aspects are essential and therefore require professional support
- Knowledge and skills of the fund manager are crucial. Its selection and following involvement in setting up the FI are key success factors





Implementation - Overall lessons learnt



Overview from the MA's viewpoint

Key success factors	Strong political consensus around the creation of the FI	
	Common agreement, willingness and commitment to take risks and conduct a pilot FI	
	Legitimacy of the TRI road map for the region	
	Support from the EIB and a law firm	
	Robust and transparent fund manager selection process	
Main challenges	New legal environment (incl. ESIF/EFSI combination)	
	Technical aspects , including: design and set-up of the FI, involvement of diverse financing sources, EISF/EFSI combination, fund manager selection, involvement of private co-investors	
	Tight timetable : 1 year between the inception in December 2014 and the FI's implementation in December 2015	
	European Investment Bank The Ed bank	











