

#### Selection of bodies implementing financial instruments under ESIF

Selection of bodies implementing financial instruments on the basis of the tendering procedures foreseen by the Directive 2014/24/EU; common mistakes and good practice

Sandro Cavrak, DG GROW



European Commission





# Selection of financial intermediaries under public procurement rules:

**Procedural choices and implications** 

Sandro Cavrak – DG GROW





# Thresholds 2016-2017

- EUR 5 225 000 for public works contracts;
- EUR 135 000 for public supply and service contracts/design contests (central government authorities);
- EUR 209 000 for public supply and service contracts/design contests (sub-central contracting authorities)
- EUR 750 000 for public service contracts for social and other specific services.





#### Procedures available under the Directive

#### Always possible:

- Open procedure
- Restricted

#### Conditional access:

- Competitive procedure with negotiations
- Competitive dialogue
- Innovation Partnership

#### Very limited access:

• Negotiated procedure without prior publication





- 'Default' public procurement procedure
- Contracting authority (CA) publishes call for tenders
- Any economic operator may apply within deadline
- CA examines fulfilment of exclusion and selection criteria to select tenderers
- CA awards the contract to the **best** tender on the basis of the **award criteria**





- Exclusion grounds (negatives): some are mandatory (criminal organisation, corruption, fraud, terrorism, money laundering), some are **optional** (violation of social, environmental, and labour law; bankruptcy, grave professional misconduct etc.)
- **Selection** criteria (positives):

(a) suitability to pursue the **professional** activity – in the context of FIs, it should be a bank or a financial institution;





(b) economic and financial standing – that the bank has a high enough turnover (max x2 the value of the contract), a good ratio of assets and liabilities etc;
(c) technical and professional ability - human and technical resources and experience to perform the contract to an appropriate quality standard

In other words, you want to select a bank/financial institution which is really capable of performing





- **Award** criteria nothing to do with the economic operator, focus solely on the proposed tender
- New Directive provides only one criterion (most economically advantageous tender), but it can be identified on the basis of the price or cost, costeffectiveness approach, such as life-cycle costing, and may include the best price-quality ratio.
- linked to the subject-matter and can include quality, organisation, qualification and experience of staff etc.





#### **IMPORTANT! Article 7 of the Commission Delegated Regulation**

In all of the procedures, as well as for direct award, an additional set of requirements is set out in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 480/2014 – CDR in Article 7

It covers **selection** and **award** criteria (misnamed) which must feature in the Terms of Reference, in addition to any other criteria





# Article 7 of the Commission Delegated Regulation

#### Selection:

(a) **entitlement** to **carry out** relevant implementation tasks under Union and national law;

(b) adequate economic and financial viability;

(c) adequate **capacity** to implement the financial instrument, (incl. organisational structure and governance framework);

(a, b and c correspond to selection criteria of the Directive)

(d) effective and efficient **internal control** system;

(e) use of an **accounting system** providing accurate, complete and reliable information in a timely manner;





# Article 7 of the Commission Delegated Regulation

#### Selection:

(f) **agreement to be audited** by Member State audit bodies, the Commission and the European Court of Auditors.

#### Award:

(a) robustness and credibility of the **methodology** for **identifying and appraising financial intermediaries** or final **recipients** as applicable;

(b) the level of **management costs** and **fees** and the **methodology** proposed for their calculation;

(c) **terms and conditions** applied in relation to **support** provided to final recipients, including **pricing**;





# Article 7 of the Commission Delegated Regulation

(d) the ability to raise resources for investments in final recipients additional to programme contributions\*;

 (e) the ability to **demonstrate additional activity** in comparison to present activity\*;

(f) in cases **where** the body implementing the financial instrument **allocates its own financial resources** to the financial instrument or **shares the risk**, proposed measures to **align interests** and to mitigate possible conflicts of interest.

Lowest price therefore not to be used.

\* could be selection criteria instead





#### **Procedures most relevant to FIs: 2. Restricted procedure**

- difference (≠ open): CA issues a call for competition and the economic operators request to participate (30 days); the number may be limited (not less than 5); then the selected ones send tenders (another 30 days).
- Useful when a large number of participants is expected





#### **Other available procedures**

# 3 and 4 - Competitive procedure with negotiation, or Competitive dialogue

 May be used in a number of cases, but the likely one applicable here is when the CA received, in response to an open or a restricted procedure, only **irregular** (not comply with proc. doc., late, collusion or corruption, or abnormally low) or **unacceptable** (not have the required qualifications, or price exceeds the budget) are submitted





#### **Other available procedures**

#### 5 – Innovation partnership (New)

- Aims at development of an innovative product, service or works and the subsequent purchase of the resulting supplies, services or works
- Combines a service and supply contract
- Not likely applicable because management of financial instruments typically does not involve development of innovative solutions





#### **Other available procedures**

6 - negotiated procedure without prior **publication**- used exceptionally in certain cases, the only applicable here is when the CA received, in response to an open or a restricted procedure, **no** (or **no suitable**) tenders or requests to participate Not suitable tender: irrelevant, manifestly incapable meeting the CA's needs and requirements Not suitable request: economic operator under exclusion or does not fulfil selection criteria





#### **Time limits**

- Open normal: 35 days / 30 (if electronic submission) or 40 if no e-documents
- Open with PIN\*: 15 or 20 if no e-documents
- Restricted with PIN\* requests 15 or 20 if no edocuments; tenders 10 or 15 if no e-documents
- \* if PIN included all info required and published between 35 days and 12 months before contract notice





#### **Time limits**

- Possibility to use accelerated procedure in open and restricted in case of a "state of urgency duly substantiated" – not likely applicable
- Open: 15 days
- Restricted: 15 days for requests, 10 for tenders.





# Framework agreements (FWA)

- agreement between one or more CAs and one or more economic operators, with terms governing contracts to be awarded during a period (FWA 4 years, contracts longer/shorter)
- General rule: specific contracts may not entail substantial modifications to the terms laid down in that framework agreement
- Single or multiple FWAs exist.





#### Framework agreements (FWA)

- Single FWA: concluded with a <u>single economic</u> <u>operator</u>, the specific contracts are awarded in the limits of the terms laid down in the FWA, with supplementing the tender when needed.
- Multiple FWA. concluded with more than one economic operator, there are following ways:

<u>a) "call-offs"</u>: without reopening competition, if FWA <u>sets</u> <u>out all the terms; b) "mini-competition</u>" where <u>not all the</u> <u>terms</u> and c) combination of a and b if this possibility, objective criteria to choose to (not) reopen, and which terms may be subject to competition, are stipulated.





# **Modification of contracts**

- has been made clearer and simpler in order to remove any doubt and shed light on this corruption-prone phase.
- General rule is still: modification needs a new PP procedure. But exceptions:

**1)** "De minimis" or "safe harbour": If the value does not exceed the Directive thresholds <u>and</u> less than 10 % of the value of the original contract (15 % for works) – for successive, net cumulative value); but not alter overall nature





# **Modification of contracts**

**2)** If specified in the original documents, by clear and unequivocal review clauses, regardless of value, but not alter overall nature;

**3) unforeseen** (with diligence) **events**, not changing nature (up to 50% of original – for each modification).

**4)** for additional works, products or services which have become necessary; change of contractor cannot be made for economic or technical reasons and would cause significant inconvenience and costs (up to 50% of original – for each modification).





# **Modification of contracts**

- **5)** new contractor replaces the old in the cases of foreseen change, legal succession or the CA assumes the obligations of the operator to the subcontractors
- **6)** where the modifications, irrespective of their value, are not substantial
- Modification is substantial? case by case, but always if:
- would allow other/additional participants/other tenders
- changes economic balance in favour of contractor
- extends the scope of contract/FA considerably
- if new contractor outside of case under 5).





# Thank you !

Contact

Directorate General Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs

**Directorate G: Public procurement, G1** 

GROW-G1@ec.europa.eu http://ec.europa.eu/growth/single-market/publicprocurement\_en











European Commission

